EXCITON g-FACTOR IN QUANTUM WELLS

V. Kochereshko

A.F.Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute Spin-Optics Laboratory, Saint Petersburg State University G-factor is a coefficient of proportionality between classical giromagnetic ratio and real one

$$\mu = g\mu_0 = g \frac{e}{2mc}$$

Giromagnetic ratio is a ratio of magnetic and mechanical momenta

$$\vec{\Omega} = \mu \vec{H}$$

Electron g-factor

Consider effective mass Hamiltonian for electron

$$H_{ij} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_0} \delta_{ij} + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \sum_i \frac{(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{ji})(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{ij})}{\varepsilon_0(0) - \varepsilon_i(0)} + \mu g_0(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{B})$$

Will take into account magnetic field by substitution

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{k} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}]$$

Split $\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Term on symmetric and anti-symmetric parts

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{m_0^2} \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{K} \cdot \{\mathbf{p}_{ji} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{ij}\} \cdot \mathbf{K}}{\varepsilon_0(0) - \varepsilon_i(0)} - i \frac{1}{m_0^2} \frac{e\hbar}{2c} \mathbf{B} \cdot \sum_{i} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_{ji} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{ij}]}{\varepsilon_0(0) - \varepsilon_i(0)}$$

We obtain for effective mass and g-factor

 $\frac{1}{m_c^*} - \frac{1}{m_0} \sum_{i} \frac{|P_{ci}^x|^2}{\varepsilon_c(0) - \varepsilon_i(0)} \qquad g = \frac{e}{2m_0^2 c} \sum_{i} \frac{P_{ci}^x P_{ic}^y - P_{ci}^y P_{ic}^x}{\varepsilon_c(0) - \varepsilon_i(0)}$

Exciton g-factor

$$g_{exc} = g_e + g_h + g_{orbital}$$

One should have in mine that for orbital motion contrary to the g factor of electron (hole) we have <u>effective mass</u> in the denominator!

$$\frac{e}{2m^*c}$$

For exciton Zeeman splitting one can obtain

$$\frac{e}{2c} \left(\frac{1}{m_e} - \frac{1}{m_h} \right) \left(\vec{H} \cdot \vec{L} \right)$$

Exciton can travel in crystal completely free



If we will create exciton with definite moment it will move with this K vector

The main property of exciton is its mobility

Let consider all possible corrections to the exciton g-factor that depend on exiton wave vector *K* or *K*²

Will use theory of irredusible tensors

The idea of this method is that: An arbitrary tensor can be split on irredusible tensors which are transforming by the same way.

For example: second rank tensor splits on scalar + vector + symmetric tensor of the second rank

Third rank tensor can be split on 1) scalar, 2) three vectors, 3) two tensors of the second rank and one symmetric tensor of the third rank

Because magnetic field transforms as a pseudo-vector

We have to construct all possible pseudo-vectors from the products

$$K_iH_j$$
 and/or $K_iK_jH_l$

 $K_i H_j$ can not give any pseudo-vector

Home task:

Construct symmetric tensor from the product $K_i H_j$

(This term will be the considered of my presentation in OECS13)

The terms proportional to $K_i K_j$ are:

Γ_4	$K^2 H_x$
	K^2H_y
	$K^2 H_z$
Γ_4	$2(2K_x^2 - K_y^2 - K_z^2)H_x$
	$2(2K_y^2 - K_x^2 - K_z^2)H_y$
	$2(2K_z^2 - K_x^2 - K_y^2)H_z$
Γ_4	$\left\{K_{x}K_{y}\right\}H_{y}+\left\{K_{x}K_{z}\right\}H_{z}$
	${K_yK_z}H_z + {K_xK_y}H_x$
	$\{K_z K_x\}H_x + \{K_z K_y\}H_y$

We can expect the ~ K^2 corrections to the g-factor both in Faraday and Voigt geometry

Experimental observations

GaAs, **CdTe**, **ZnTe** and **ZnSe QW**.QW width 50 – 1000 nm; exciton Bohr radius from 3nm to 12 nm,



Exciton center of mass quantization



The exciton safe its bulk properties but in the spectrum we have referent points

Center of mass quantization in PL spectra



Center of mass quantization in reflectivity

Sample e292



PLE in magnetic field of 4T





Zeeman splitting of the exciton states

Increase of magnetic momentum at exciton motion



Exciton magnetic momentum µ_Bg increases proportional to its kinetic energy

This is a result of mixing of *1S* exciton ground state and excited n*P* states of internal motion. Orbital momentum from *P* states "transfer" to *S* state.

The value of the K²H corrections to the Zeeman splitting depends on the heavy – light hole splitting



Exciton diamagnetic shift decreases with increasing of quantized level





Exciton Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_e^2}{2m_e} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} [(\gamma_1 + \frac{5}{2}\gamma)\vec{\nabla}_h^2 \mathbf{I} - 2\gamma(\vec{J} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_h)^2] - \frac{e^2}{\kappa |\vec{r_e} - \vec{r_h}|}$$

In cubic crystal it is impossible to separate exciton internal motion and center of mass motion. In Faraday geometry:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}\hbar\gamma}{m_0} [(\mathbf{p}_x + \frac{e}{c}A_x)J_x + (\mathbf{p}_y + \frac{e}{c}A_y)J_y](Q_zJ_z)$$

Оба явления вызваны смешиванием основного 15 состояния и

возбужденных пР состояний внутреннего движения в экситоне

Зеемановское расщепление

$$\Delta E = 2AH(7J_z - 4J_z^3)$$

$$A = \left(\frac{\gamma}{m_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{hh}}{m_e + m_{hh}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{e\hbar}{c}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{Q^2}{Ry^*}\right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\langle 1S|r/a_B|nP\rangle\langle 1S|a_B\nabla|nP\rangle}{1 - 1/n^2 + \Delta(Q)/Ry^*}$$

Диамагнитный сдвиг

$$\Delta E = B_0 H^2 - B_1 Q^2 H^2$$
$$B_1 = \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\gamma}{m_0}\right)^2 \hat{\beta}^2 \left(\frac{e\hbar}{c}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{Ry^*}\right) a_B^2 \sum_n \frac{\left|\langle 1S \left| r / a_B \right| n P_y \rangle\right|^2}{1 - 1/n^2 + \Delta(Q)/Ry^*}$$

Exciton g-factor as a function of center of mass wave vector



Suppression of diamagnetic shift



The effect of g-factor increasing can be observed not only for exciton center of mass motion but also for excitons in quantum wells and and even for free hole.



For the lateral polariton quantization one can find similar effect







Zeeman split polariton modes

Exciton g-factor can depends also on the environment

1. Giant Zeeman splitting for Excitons in semimagnetic

2. Zero Zeeman splitting in Bose condensate

Giant g-factor in semimagnetic semiconductor





Y.G.Rubo, A.V.Kavokin, I.A. Shelykh, Phys.Lett. A 358, 227 (2006).

A.V. Larionov, V.D. Kulakovskii et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., 105, 256401 (2010).

Conclusion

Thank you for the attention